





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/628,839	07/31/2000	Richard M. Shupak	777.388US1	5501	
. 75	90 02/10/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
John E Whitaker			GROSS, KENNETH A		
Merchant & Gould PC					
PO Box 2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-0903	4.	2122	0	
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/628,839	SHUPAK, RICHARD M.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kenneth A Gross	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· · ——				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-56.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New matter in ammended Claim 1 includes analyzing the computer program with the annotation information before the source code is compiled. Similar limitations can also be found in ammended Claims 14, 19, 24, 28, 33, 35, 38, 43, and 46. Furthermore, new matter in Claims 39, 41, and newly added Claims 57-65 include annotation information that remains with the source code.

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER